

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/911,816 07/24/2001		Kazuho Oku	13280-003001	6289	
26161 7590 12/14/2005			EXAMINER		
FISH & RIO P.O. BOX 10		ON PC	TAYLOR, NICHOLAS R		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2141	

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/911,816	OKU, KAZUHO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Nicholas R. Taylor	2141		

	Nicholas R. Taylor	2141					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 25 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a				
<u>NOTICE OF APPEAL</u> 2. ☑ The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>25 <i>November</i> 2005</u> . A	brief in compliance with 27 CED 45	1 27 must be filed with	-i 4a a4b-a				
of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a) appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	**						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims					
NOTE: <u>see attached</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.		ootoa olamio.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	` ''	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,		_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profit The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 2-14,20, and 23-28.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation							
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	o condition for allowa	ace pecalise.				
prior rejections were not overcome.			ice because.				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
13.	M	FUPAL DHARIA GOBY PATENT EX	AMINER				

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 2-14, 20, and 23-28 were presented for examination and are rejected.
- 2. The proposed amendments will not be entered due to limiting language added that will require further consideration, e.g. the addition of the adjective "input" to claim 1, paragraph 4, modifying "URLs" to be a specific "input URL" rather than any URL provided by the authentication server.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 11/25/2005 have been fully considered but they are deemed not persuasive.
- 4. In the remarks, applicant argued in substance that:
- (A) Prior art of Kahn does not teach a data server that provides a channel URLs that are of a set of URLS of web servers that provide contents of a predetermined field, and binds and transmits a plurality of contents respectively provided by web servers into a single channel and requests that the corresponding web server provide the contents.

As to point (A), Kahn teaches a system that processes content into corresponding channel URLS (Kahn, column 9, line 65 to column 10, line 19, wherein the content type classification are channel URLs of a predetermined field). Kahn then binds this content into a single channel: "...retrieved content is aggregated at a network server...". After this is content is combined, it is retrieved from the corresponding web

Art Unit: 2141

servers, taught specifically in Kahn when "content from the world wide web is collected and converted" that is later then provided to the system (Kahn, column 10, lines 51-65).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as applied to the claims are unchanged and are recited in a previous FINAL office action mailed 7/19/2005.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas Taylor whose telephone number is (571) 272-3889. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00am to 5:30pm, with alternating Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on (571) 272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3718.

Application/Control Number: 09/911,816 Page 4

Art Unit: 2141

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nicholas Taylor Examiner Art Unit 2141